Case 1	1:08-cv-00778	Document 1	Filed 02/05/2008	Page 1 of 9 RECEIVED
Mauri	ce Mays			FEB 05 2008 aew
Full Narne				CBDINS
Committed 1	vame (It differe	int)		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Sands: Full <b>Address</b>	including Nan	ie of Institutio	<del>n  </del>	FEB 0 5 2008
	000 Sandstone			· ·
22209				
Prison Numb	er (If applicab	(e)		
		NITED STATE	* DISTRICT COUR ** 08CV 778 ** JUDGE GETT MAGISTRATE	
Mauri	ce Mays			supplied by the Clerk)
vs.	Plaintiff	•		
	go Police Orfi	cer Jason Cla	rk PURSUA	GHTS COMPLAINT ANT TO (check one)
Other	later named c	fficers		.s.C. §1983
City	of Chicago		403 t	I ns v. Six Unknown Agents J.S. 388 (1971); S.C. §1331
	Defenda	ent(s),		
			RISDICTION s of plaintiff, (1)	urice Mays
This Com	plaint alleges the Sar	ndstone 'FCI B	ox 1000 Sandstone	orint Plaintiff's name) MN 55072 , wers
who presently	y resides at	(mailing add	ress or place of conf	nement)
 violated by the	he actions of the	e below-named	individuals, which	actions were directed against
olaintiff at	Chica	ago, Illinois		
	(inst		re violation occurred	)
on (dates)	November 1		December 2001	March 10, 2004
	(Count I)	((	Count II)	(Count III)
§1983-Form	CV66(9.94)			

eff. 7/94

Defendant Officer Jason Clark	resides or works at
Defendant Officer Jason Clark (full name of first defendant)	<del></del>
City of Chicago	, and is employed as
(full address of first defendant)	
Chicago Police Officer	This defendant is sued
(defendant's position and title, if any)	
in his/her: $\underline{\underline{X}}$ individual $\underline{\underline{X}}$ official capacity. (Check one or bo	oth).
Explain how this defendant was acting under col + of law:	
At the time of the incident(s) defendant was or	n duty as a Chicago
Police Officer under the supervision of the City	of Chicago.
	resides or works at
(full name of Second defendant)	
City of Chicago	, and is employed as
(full address of Second defendant)	
Chicago Police Officers	. This defendant is sued
(defendant's position and title, if any)	
in his/her: X individual X official capacity. (Check one or bo Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:	oth).
in his/her: X individual X official capacity. (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:  At the time of incident(s) defendants was on defendants.	o <b>th).</b> uty as Chicago
in his/her: $\underline{X}$ individual $\underline{X}$ official capacity. (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:	o <b>th).</b> uty as Chicago
in his/her: X individual X official capacity (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:  At the time of incident(s) defendants was on defendent to the City Police Officers under the supervision of the City	oth). uty as Chicago of Chicago.
in his/her: X individual X official capacity. (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:  At the time of incident(s) defendants was on defendants.	o <b>th).</b> uty as Chicago of Chicago.
in his/her: X_individual X_official capacity. (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:  At the time of incident(s) defendants was on defendant was on defendant.  Police Officers under the supervision of the City  Defendant City of Chicago  (full name of Third defendant)	oth).  uty as Chicago  of Chicago.  resides or works at
in his/her: X individual X official capacity. (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:  At the time of incident(s) defendants was on defendants was on defendant.  Police Officers under the supervision of the City  Defendant City of Chicago  (full name of Third defendant)	oth). uty as Chicago of Chicago.
in his/her: X individual X official capacity. (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:  At the time of incident(s) defendants was on defendant was on defendant.  Police Officers under the supervision of the City  Defendant City of Chicago  (full name of Third defendant)  City of Chocago  (full address of Third defendant)	oth).  uty as Chicago  of Chicago.  resides or works at , and is employed as
in his/her: X_individual X_official capacity. (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:  At the time of incident(s) defendants was on defendant was on defendant.  Police Officers under the supervision of the City  Defendant City of Chicago  (full name of Third defendant)  City of Chocago  (full address of Third defendant)	oth).  uty as Chicago  of Chicago.  resides or works at
in his/her: X individual X official capacity. (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:  At the time of incident(s) defendants was on defendant was on defendant.  Police Officers under the supervision of the City  Defendant City of Chicago  (full name of Third defendant)  City of Chocago  (full address of Third defendant)  Governing Body	oth).  uty as Chicago  of Chicago.  resides or works at , and is employed as  This defendant is sued
in his/her: X individual X official capacity. (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:  At the time of incident(s) defendants was on defendant was on defendant.  Police Officers under the supervision of the City  Defendant City of Chicago  (full name of Third defendant)  City of Chocago  (full address of Third defendant)  Governing Body (defendant's position and title, if any)	oth).  uty as Chicago  of Chicago.  resides or works at , and is employed as  This defendant is sued
in his/her: X individual X official capacity. (Check one or both Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law:  At the time of incident(s) defendants was on defendant was on defendant was on defendant.  Police Officers under the supervision of the City  Defendant City of Chicago  (full name of Third defendant)  City of Chocago  (full address of Third defendant)  Governing Body (defendant's position and title, if any)  in his/her: X individual X official capacity. (Check one or both capacity)	oth).  uty as Chicago  of Chicago.  resides or works at , and is employed as  This defendant is sued  oth).

Dafe	ndantresides or works at
D-010	ndantresides or works at  {full name of Fourth defendant}, and is employed as
(full	address of Fourth defendant)
	. This defendant is sued
(cefe	indant's position and title, if any)
io his	s/her:Individualofficial capacity. (Check one or both).
Expl	ain how this defendant was acting under color of law:
Defe	ndantresides or works at (full name of Fifth defendant)
ifuli :	address of Fifth defendant)
*	. This defendant is sued
(clofe	endant's position and title, if any)
ia bi	s/her:individualofficial capacity. (Check one or both).
	ain how this defendant was acting under color of law:
 Juris	ediction is invoked pursuant to:
[2]	28 U,S.C. § 1343(a)(3) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983;
	<u>or</u>
	Bivens v. Six Unknown Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971); 28 U.S.C. § 1331. If you wish to assert jurisdiction under different or additional statutes, list them below.
	B. NATURE OF THE CASE
Brie	fly state the background of your case.
Ot	n November 15, 2001 at approximately 10:10pm plaintiff was stopped
	y a green colored unmarked vehicle. After pulling over on the 4800
01	f Sheridan Rd, plaintiff was placed in handcuffs by two of the thre
	ersons who occupied said vehicle (the third person in the vehicle

was Paula Woods). About ten minutes after being handcuffed, another unmarked vehicle approached, and a conversation ensued between the occupants of both vehicles. Shortly therafter, plaintiff was placed into a pattywagon and driven to the 23rd district Chicago Police (see next page) C. CAUSE OF ACTION COUNT The following civil right has been violated: 4th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution " no probable cause to stop and/or arrest" Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be

certain you describe, in separately numbered paragraphs, exactly what each defendant (by name) did to violate your right].

1. Plaintiff was stopped on the night in quetion by CPD officers who were under false pretenses initiated by officer J. Clark. Plaintiff was also arrested under same such pretenses.

## COUNT IF

The following civil right has been violated:

5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution "denying a defendant Due Process"

"Manufacturing/Fabricating (false) evidence" "Providing false testimony"

Station where he was charged and process for Delivery of a Controlled Substance. The following morning, plaintiff along with the Charging Instruments manufactured by CPD officer J. Clark (including an official CPD evidence inventory sheet) were sent to the Cook County Jail and Clerk to process for case # 01CR30511-02 in-which later a Grand Jury panel was formed for. Based on the testimony and aforementioned documents by officer J. Clark, a True Bill of indictment was passed and plaintiff Mays were bound over to the cheif judge to stand trial.

In late fall of 2003, after having been subpeoned for a motion to show just cause, did officer J. Clark submit the document(s) that were demanded by plaintiff Mays' motion for additional Discovery pertaining to alleged pre-recorded U.S. currency allegedly recovered from plaintiff Mays on the evening of the arrest Nov 15, 2001.

On March 10, 2004, the first day of trial for said case, Honorable Judge M. Laws <u>denied</u> any and all submitted documents pertaining to pre-recorded funds to be admitted as evidence including the or any U.S. currency).

On March 12, 2004 a finding of Not Guilty was ruled by said Honorable Judge Laws for case # 01CR30511-02.

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be

certain you describe, in separately numbered paragraphs, exactly what each defendant (by name) did to violate your right). 1. CPD officer J. Clark manufactured fabricated evidence and documents that he presented to the Grand Jury panel for case # 01Cr30511-02 in-which he also provided false and misleading testimony that influenced the panel to grant a True Bill of Indictment for said case. 2. Later, after submitting additional manufactured fabricated documents to the trial court, officer J. Clark gave the same false and misleading testimony to trial Judge Laws.

## Count III

The following civil right has been violated:  8th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution	
" False Imprisonment"	
" False Arrest"	
Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important. State the fact clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. B certain you describe, in separately numbered paragraphs, exactly what eac defendant (by name) did to violate your right).	e
1. Due to the actions of CPD officer J. Clark as so described in part	. В
of this complaint, plaintiff Mays spent approximately 345 days in	
custody for case # 01CR30511-02.	
2. Due to the actions of the later to be named CPD officers who	
were invoved in the arrest of plaintiff on Nov 15, 2001, plaintiff	Ē
spent approximately 345 days in custody.	
3. At the time of the incident(s) that led plaintiff to spending a	IDDTO:
ximately 345 days in custody, the CPD officers involved were employed	yed
and supervised by the City of Chicago	
	***************************************

## D. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS AND ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF

a)	Parties to previous lawsuit: Plaintiff(s): Maurice Mays
	Defendant(s): CPD officer Jason Clark, City of Chicago
ь) і	Name of Court and Docket No.: U.S. District Court Northern Distr
	Illinois Case # 107-cv-00345
c)	Disposition (for example, was the case dismissed, appealed or still pending?): <u>Dismissed w/o prejudice</u>
d)	Issues raised: Plaintiff did not pay filing fee 15 days after
	denial of in forma pauperis petition.
e)	
u,	Approximate date it was filed: Feb 2007
f)	Approximate date it was filed: Feb 2007  Approximate date of disposition: April 5, 2007  Previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative
f) I have offici is "Y!	
f) I have offici is "Y!	Approximate date of disposition: April 5, 2007  a previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative als regarding the acts alleged in Part C. YESNO. If your answees, briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answe
f) I have offici is "Y!	Approximate date of disposition: April 5, 2007  a previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative als regarding the acts alleged in Part C. YESNO. If your answees, briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answe
f) I have offici is "Y!	Approximate date of disposition: April 5, 2007  a previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative als regarding the acts alleged in Part C. YESNO. If your answe ES*, briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answe
f) I have offici is "Y!	Approximate date of disposition: April 5, 2007  a previously sought informal or formal relief from the proper administrative als regarding the acts alleged in Part C. YESNO. If your answees, briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answe

## E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

\$1,000,000 in compensit	ory damages
\$1,000,000 in punitive	damages
	Marine Marin
ame of person who wrote amplaint if not plaintiff)	this (Signature of Plaintiff)
	(Date)
dditional space if needed: i	(Date) identify what paragraph is being continued).
dditional space if needed:	· · · · ·
dditional space if needed:	· · · · ·
dditional space if needed:	· · · · ·
dditional space if needed:	· · · · ·